Addressing female genital mutilation in the Asia Pacific: the neglected sustainable development target
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Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) target 5.3.2 focuses on the elimination of all harmful practices including female genital mutilation (FGM), a deeply entrenched cultural practice also referred to as female genital cutting or female circumcision. FGM is defined as the partial or total removal of the external female genitalia for non-therapeutic reasons. It is strongly associated with adverse obstetric outcomes and serious immediate and long-term physical, sexual and psychosocial complications resulting in excruciating injuries, disability and death. The practice affects more than 200 million women and girls globally and while the prevalence is decreasing in some countries it is increasing overall due to population growth.2

While much work has focused on advocacy and prevention efforts in countries of high prevalence in Africa and diaspora in Europe, there has been a paucity of discussion on FGM in the Asia-Pacific region. FGM is practised in India, Sri Lanka, Bangladesh, Thailand, Malaysia, Brunei, Singapore, Cambodia, Vietnam, Laos, the Philippines and Indonesia; however, none of these countries are supported by the UNFPA-UNICEF Joint Programme on the Abandonment of FGM.3 Australia and New Zealand are also home to migrant women and girls with FGM. There are reports of the practice being undertaken in Australia and cases where parents have travelled to their countries of origin to have their daughters excised.4 However, despite legislation, there have been very few prosecutions.

Different types of FGM are practised in the region, (largely types I and IV), which are associated with a range of motivations that are complex and disputed. FGM, for example, is considered by some to be a rite of passage in the Philippines,5 while in Southern Thailand it is associated with ‘softening’ the female character.6 Research from India, Indonesia and Malaysia has found the practice is associated with cleanliness,7 reducing female sexual desire and maintaining purity,8,9 and to purify the body.10 FGM, also known as Katna or Khad, is largely regarded as an important religious observance for Muslim girls in the Asia-Pacific and for non-Muslim women who marry into the faith.6 However, there is no reference to FGM in the Holy Quran, but religious scholars sometimes refer to the hadith (words and practices of Prophet Muhammad) to explain this practice.

Data on the prevalence of FGM in the region are scarce and hence not included in global reporting.7 National prevalence data are only available from Indonesia where, although the practice varies greatly across provinces, 49% of approximately 34 million girls under the age of 12 in 2013 were found to have undergone some form of FGM.11 Modelling based on census data in Australia has estimated that there are 53,000 migrant girls and women with FGM in the country, the majority of whom have undergone the practice before migration.12 Of the little research on FGM in the region, most studies are focused on specific populations and are not necessarily representative. Data collection efforts may be hampered in situations where authorities deny or ignore the existence of FGM. For example, in 2008, a Sri Lankan Ministry of Health and World Health Organization report on violence and health in the country clearly stated that FGM does not exist in Sri Lanka.13 A lack of data present challenges for planning a health system response to FGM, as well as reporting on behavioural change. Only Indonesia has made passing reference to FGM in the available Voluntary National Reviews that are a central feature of the monitoring and evaluation framework for the SDGs.14 There have been some efforts at national levels to prevent FGM and provide better care for affected women and girls. Despite previous denial of the existence of FGM, recent advocacy efforts have raised awareness that has led to the publication of a circular by the Sri Lankan Ministry of Health in 2018 cautioning medical practitioners and authorities in the health sector against conducting FGM.15 While there have been no national approaches to addressing FGM in Australia and New Zealand, community education and health professional training has been undertaken to improve healthcare and prevent FGM by counselling affected pregnant women and advocating against the practice.16-18 In contrast, the Ministry of Health in Malaysia19 and Indonesia20 have made moves to regulate the practice. In 2010, the Indonesian Ministry of Health issued a regulation (Article 1, paragraph 1 Permenkes 1636/2010), that permits the act of “scratching the skin” that covers the front of the clitoris without injuring the clitoris. The Malaysian Minister of Health recently referred to FGM as a “cultural responsibility” of Malaysians,21 suggesting that this practice is associated with one’s ethical duty to care about present and future generations and is bound to attitudes about human relationships and economic behaviour. These actions appear to contravene the ‘zero tolerance approach’ taken by the United Nations to achieve SDG 5.3.2.

The responses of the Indonesian and Malaysian Ministries of Health should be understood in the context of religious identity and political change. Islamic Southeast Asia...
largely adheres to the Shafi’i school of law, and religious leaders in many countries have issued strong support for FGM. In 2008, the Indonesian Ulema Council released an edict (Fatwa) that FGM is part of religious practice and recommended it be undertaken on girls.22 A year later, the National Council of Islamic Religious Affairs in Indonesia introduced a Fatwa declaring that FGM is part of Islamic teachings and it should be observed by Muslims.23 Religious authorities in other countries in the region have made similar announcements. In 2011, Dr. lyaz Abdul Latheef, the Vice President of the Fiqh Academy, the primary religious academy in the Maldives, encouraged the practice during a broadcast on national radio.24 The All Ceylon Jamiyyathul Ulama Council of Muslims in Sri Lanka declared in 2007 that FGM was obligatory.24 The introduction of these Fatwas and proclamations from religious leaders appear to emphasise that FGM is not sunnah (recommending) but now wajib (obligatory). There is also evidence of community support for FGM that in some countries has not changed a great deal over time. In Indonesia, a 2003 survey in eight provinces found that 92% of parents expressed support for the continuation of FGM for their future granddaughters.25 Ten years later, in 2013, 80% of parents still expressed their continued support for this practice.11 While most FGM appears to be type I or IV, symbolic acts such as wiping the clitoris with aniseptic or placing a knife on the abdomen have been described in some Indonesia provinces3 and other countries in the region26 that do not involve genital cutting.

Exponents of FGM have reacted to moves to prevent the practice. The recent Sri Lankan Ministry of Health circular emphasising the harmful nature of FGM has been met with resistance, with some Muslims stating this is an infringement on their religious rights and freedoms and an attempt to “discredit resistance, with some Muslims stating this Ministry of Health circular emphasising the...”

While research is clearly needed, so are co-ordinated primary prevention efforts in the region, such as those led by the End FGM European Network, to link community organisations and NGOs and build their capacity. The approach of the End FGM European Network could serve as a model for intergovernmental agencies such as the Association of Southeast Asian Nations, or the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation, to advocate for improved, routinely collected data in line with that in the UK2 and the prioritisation of multi-disciplinary, evidence-based, culturally appropriate prevention efforts, policy and investment to address SDG 5.3.2.
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